Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 10/28/2015	Man Days: 1
Inspection Unit: Marion	
Location of Audit: Carbondale/Marion	
Exit Meeting Contact:	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Valerie Schwing	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction Pe	rformed By:
225 North Giant City Road, Carbondale IL	1	Ameren Illinois	
Contractor Foreman:			
Operator Inspector:	Tim Hammers		
	Person(s) On Jo	b Site	
Tim Hammers	Taylor Cash		
Description of Construction:			

The construction project objective was to extend the existing two inch PE main previously installed in 2002.

MAIN INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 1
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	МАОР	60 PSI
[192.63]	Pipe Size :	2 inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM 2513

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	DRISCOPLEX
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/ PE 2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	DR 11
[192.63(a)(2)]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
[192.227]	Date of Welder qualification:	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed during cons	truction.	
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	•
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed during cons	truction.	
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.2	283] Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Not Checked
General Comment:	•	•
Joining did not take place while Staff was at the co	nstruction site.	
[192.285]	Date of Plastic Joining Qualification :	March 4, 2015
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Tim Hammers
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	•
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed during cons	truction.	
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Satisfactory
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	•
Only plastic pipe was installed but an anode was co	onnected to the tracer wire to provide cathodic protection for the wire.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	Yes
General Comment:	•	•
Only plastic pipe was installed but an anode was co	onnected to the tracer wire to provide cathodic protection for the wire.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	•
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed during cons	truction.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed d	uring construction.	
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	
Metallic pipe was not used or exposed d	uring construction.	
[192.303]	Are comprehensive written construction specifications available and adhered to?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
Staff reviewed the written construction sp	pecifications.	
[192.305]	Are inspections performed by the operator to check adherence to the construction specifications?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The journeyman performed inspections of	during construction actives.	
[192.307]	Is material being visually inspected at the site of installation to ensure against damage that could impair its serviceability?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff observed material being visually ins	spected at the site of installation to ensure against damage that could impair its serviceability.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff observed the ditch being back-filled	to provide firm support and prevent damage to the PE pipe.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
A weak leak was used during directional	boring to minimize tensile forces. Ameren personnel hand dug around the existing main to minim	nize sheer forces.
[192.321(e)]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff observed the use of a tracer wire de	uring construction.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The two inch PE main was installed at a	depth of 30 inches and with 12 inches of clearance of the other underground utilities.	
[192.327]	Is required cover being obtained appropriate to type of pipeline and location?	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
The two inch PE main was installed at a depth of 30 inc	hes and with 12 inches of clearance of the other underground utilities.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
Testing did not take place while Staff was at the constru	uction site	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
Testing did not take place while Staff was at the constru	action site	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed Tim Hammers' qualifications.		
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
One Journeyman was instructing one unqualified person	nnel while hand digging.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
One Journeyman was instructing one unqualified person	nnel while hand digging.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff examined pipeline markers, flags, and the dig ticke	et.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X002941576 Dig start : 10/23/15 12:00:00 Expires : 11/17/15 23:59:00
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A fire extinguisher was present at the job site.		
[192.719(b)]	Was each repair made by welding to a transmission line made in accordance with 192.713, 192.715, and 192.717 and examined in accordance with 192.241?	Not Applicable

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General (Comment:
-----------	----------

Repairs were not made to a transmission line during this construction project.

MAIN INSTALLATION CHECKLIST Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.